



Embrapa

PFBR

**Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira
Brazilian Journal of Forestry Research**

v. 39, e201902043
Special issue, 2019
ISSN 1809-3647

Editor-Chief

Patrícia Póvoa de Mattos
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Co-editors

Alvaro Figueredo dos Santos
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Annete Bonnet
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Carolin Córdova Sáez
Universidad de Concepcion, Concepción, Chile

Cristiane Fioravante Reis
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Daniel Burckhardt
Naturhistorisches Museum, Switzerland

Eugenio Alfredo Sanfuentes von Stowasser
Universidad de Concepción, Centro de Biotecnología, Concepción, Chile

Francides Gomes da Silva Júnior
Universidade de São Paulo, Campus Luiz de Queiroz, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Hugo Enrique Fassola
Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria Estación Experimental Agropecuaria Montecarlo (INTA-EEA Montecarlo), Misiones, Argentina

Guilherme Schnell e Schuhli
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Jose Elidney Pinto Junior
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Krisle da Silva
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Marcelo Francia Arco-Verde
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Marilice Cordeiro Garrastazu
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Peter Michael Spathelf
University for Sustainable Development Eberswalde (FH), Eberswalde, Germany

Valderês Aparecida de Sousa
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Associate Editors

Afonso Figueiredo Filho
Universidade Estadual do Centro-Oeste, Departamento de Engenharia Florestal, Irati, PR, Brazil

Aloisio Xavier
Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Departamento de Engenharia Florestal, Viçosa, MG, Brazil

Eduardo Mansur
International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO), Yokohama, Japan

Gledson Vígiano Bianconi
Instituto Federal do Paraná, Campus Pinhais, Brazil

Heinrich Spiecker
University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

John Parrotta
US Forest Service, Research & Development, Virginia, United States

Ivan Tomaselli
STCP Engenharia de Projetos Ltda, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Jorge Alberto Gazel Yared
Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia, Belém, PA, Brazil

José Aníbal Palavecino
Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Eldorado, Misiones, Argentina

José Rente Nascimento
International Consultant, United States

Laercio Couto
Centro Brasileiro Para Conservação da Natureza e Desenvolvimento Sustentável (CBCN), Viçosa, MG, Brazil

Leif Nutto
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Instituto Para Utilização da Madeira e das Ciências, Freiburg, Germany

Manoel Malheiros Tourinho
Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia, Instituto Socioambiental e dos Recursos Hídricos - ISARH, Belém, PA, Brazil

Marcus Vinicio Neves d'Oliveira
Embrapa Acre, Rio Branco, AC, Brazil

Pablo Christian Cruz Johnson
Centro de Investigación de Estudios de Recursos Naturales, Santiago, Chile

Ricardo Cesar Larrobla
Consultor independente, Maldonado, Uruguay

Sebastião do Amaral Machado
Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Versides Sebastião de Moraes e Silva
Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Faculdade de Engenharia Florestal, Cuiabá, MT, Brazil

Secretaria

Elisabete Marques Oaida
Embrapa Floresta, Colombo, Brazil

Editoração

Cristina Mosol
Curitiba, Brazil

Normalização Bibliográfica

Francisca Rasche
Embrapa Floresta, Colombo, Brazil



Congress Scientific Committee (CSC)

CSC Chair

Jerry Vanclay
Southern Cross University, Australia

CSC Members

Pil Sun Park
Division 1
Seoul National University, South Korea

Santiago González-Martínez
Division 2
French National Institute for Agricultural Research-INRA, France

Woodam Chung
Division 3
Oregon State University, USA

Donald Hodges
Division 4
University of Tennessee, USA

Pekka Saranpää
Division 5
Natural Resources Institute Finland / Luonnonvarakeskus-Luke, Finland

Cecil Konijnendijk
Division 6
University of British Columbia, Canada

Eckehard Brockerhoff
Division 7
Scion Crown Research Institute-CRI, New Zealand

Alexia Stokes
Division 8
French National Institute for Agricultural Research-INRA, France

Sandra Luque
Division 8
National Research Institute of Science and Technology for Environment and Agriculture-IRSTEA, France

Daniela Kleinschmit
Division 9
University of Freiburg, Germany

Björn Hånell
Vice-President Divisions
Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden

John Parrotta
Vice-President Task Forces, Special Programmes, Projects and IUFRO-LED Initiatives
US Forest Service, USA

Dolores Pavlovic
Student Representative
International Forestry Students Association

Joseph Cobbinah
Africa Representative
University of Ghana, Africa

Manuel Guariguata
Latin America Representative
Center for International Forestry Research-CIFOR, Peru

Patrícia Povoá de Mattos
COC Representative
Embrapa Florestas, Brazil

The abstracts in this Special Issue are the sole responsibility of their authors. The statements and opinions they contain, as well as mentions of any machinery, equipment, products, or techniques, do not constitute endorsement by the Organizing Committee or the institutions involved in the 25th IUFRO World Congress. The editors and event organizers are not responsible for spelling, grammar errors, content, in these abstracts, or for any inaccuracies or ambiguity in the identification or affiliation of their authors.

Pesquisa florestal brasileira = Brazilian journal of forestry research. - v. 39, e 201902043, Special issue (2019) - Colombo : Embrapa Florestas, 2019.

Continuous publishing since 2018-
Published online: <<http://www.cnpf.embrapa.br/pfb/>>.
Special issue: Abstracts of the XXV IUFRO World Congress: Forest Research and Cooperation for Sustainable Development.

ISSN 1809-3647 (print)
ISSN 1983-2605 (online)

1. Forest – Journal - Brazil. 2. Forestry research. 3. Sustainable development. I. Embrapa Florestas.

Francisca Rasche CRB 9-1204 CDD 634.905
© Embrapa, 2019

Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira /Brazilian Journal of Forestry Research

**Forest Research and Cooperation
for Sustainable Development**

XXV IUFRO World Congress, 29 sept - 5 October 2019,

Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Abstracts

Physiological performance of temperate seedlings in a FACE experimental forest

Carolina Mayoral^{1,2}, A. Rob Mackenzie^{1,2}, Michael Tausz³

¹Birmingham Institute of Forest Research, Birmingham, United Kingdom; ²University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom; ³CQUUniversity, Cairns, Australia (c.mayoral@bham.ac.uk; a.r.mackenzie@bham.ac.uk; m.tausz@cqu.edu.au)

In a changing climate, understanding the behaviour of tree species subjected to elevated CO₂ is crucial to predicting the future of forest ecosystems. We selected the five most representative tree species coexisting in a mature, unmanaged, oak-dominated, FACE forest to assess their performance during one growing season. We measured leaf-level physiological traits on naturally regenerated seedlings coming from seeds that were developed under elevated atmospheric CO₂. We also introduced self-irrigated, nursery-sourced, potted seedlings from the same five species into the treatment and control patches in order to have an estimation of the physiological baseline of these species under somewhat more controlled conditions and elevated CO₂. A Non-linear model was fitted to each set of measurements from each seedling to describe the temperature effect on photosynthetic capacity. More than one hundred and fifty combinations of ecologically meaningful parameters (Amax at saturating light and Topt) were obtained. Under field water capacity (potted seedlings), the five species showed similar photosynthetic capacity to each other and under both treatments. However, natural *Quercus robur*, *Crataegus monogyna* and *Ilex aquifolium* seedlings exhibited higher maximum photosynthetic capacity (Amax) under elevated CO₂, suggesting a positive effect of increasing CO₂ on Amax with decreasing soil moisture. We did not find differences in the optimum temperature to maximize photosynthetic capacity, excepting for naturally regenerated *Acer pseudoplatanus* seedlings. Under the forecasted scenario of increasing CO₂, our preliminary results suggest a competitive advantage at the regeneration stage of species able to maximise photosynthetic capacity with elevated CO₂ under drier conditions over the other species.

B8e: FOREST ADAPTATION AND RESTORATION UNDER GLOBAL CHANGE: FROM GLOBAL TO REGIONAL PERSPECTIVE

Results of a global online survey on forest adaptation and restoration

Markus Höhl^{1,2}, John Stanturf³, Peter Spathelf⁴, Michael Kleine⁵, Peter Elsasser⁶, Andreas Bolte¹

¹Thuenen Institute of Forest Ecosystems, Eberswalde, Germany; ²Graduate School Forest and Agricultural Sciences, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany; ³Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu, Estonia; ⁴Eberswalde University for Sustainable Development, Eberswalde, Germany; ⁵IUFRO, Vienna, Austria; ⁶Thuenen Institute of International Forestry and Forest Economics, Hamburg, Germany (markus.hoehl@thuenen.de; drdirt48@gmail.com; peter.spathelf@hnee.de; kleine@iufro.org; peter.elsasser@thuenen.de; andreas.bolte@thuenen.de)

Adaptive Forest Management and Forest Landscape Restoration are major challenges for forest management in times of global change and there is a need for information regarding the implementation of such measures. We present results from a global online survey on real-world examples of forest adaptation and restoration. The survey gathers information on the location, environmental conditions, management regimes, involved actors, targets, silvicultural measures and success factors for the respective forest adaptation and restoration projects. The survey audience can be classified as forestry experts. We examine the possibility to combine forest restoration and -adaptation and present results regarding targets, species selection (native vs. non-native), and forest management as reported by the survey participants. We further identify success factors derived from the survey information. Almost half of the reported projects pursue an approach that combines forest restoration and adaptation. Forest adaptation is associated with very high human development (HDI < 0.8) in contrast to forest restoration which is associated with low human development (HDI < 0.55). Native tree species are often preferred. Silvicultural interventions targeting existing stands (e.g. changing species composition, thinning etc.) are associated with adaptation whereas measures related to stand establishment are associated with restoration. Adaptation measures are likely to play a role in the future management of restored forests. Capacities in silviculture and the production of seedlings of native species should be assessed and developed to reach restoration goals. Restoration goals and objectives need to be clearly defined in a participatory process and monitored using criteria and indicators.

Do we have sufficient data and information for a knowledge based adaptation of forest management to climate change?

Pavel Cudlin¹, Harald Vacik², Nicola La Porta^{3,4}, Filip Lysak¹, Michal Marek⁵

¹Global Change Research Institute, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic; ²Institute of Silviculture BOKU, Wien, Austria; ³IASMA Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach, San Michele all'Adige, Italy; ⁴The EFI Project Centre on Mountain Forests (MOUNTFOR), Trento, Italy; ⁵Global Change Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic (cudlin.p@czechglobe.cz; harald.vacik@boku.ac.at; nicola.laporta@fmach.it; filip.lysak@tiscali.cz; marek.m@czechglobe.cz)

Scientifically based data on the relationship of forest ecosystem functioning, forest stand naturalness and biodiversity status are urgently needed for a better understanding of the effects of forest management adaptation strategies under climate change. The contribution will summarize information on i) natural processes in forest ecosystems; ii) natural disturbance history, iii) differences between ecosystem processes during grow stage and mature stage and iv) the sustainability of forest management compared to the natural forest ecosystem processes. A framework of indicators will be proposed to quantify the key elements of natural processes (succession), the forest biodiversity status (genetic, species, ecosystem and landscape level) and the effects of sustainable forest management. The framework will allow to answer questions with regard to quantitative and qualitative aspects of forest management and maintaining forest ecosystem functioning (e.g. genetic pools, energetic-material fluxes, including soil nutrient level, water regime and forest microclimate and the incremental risks of natural forest disturbance, including resilience processes in disturbed forests). In addition, the possibility of comparison the primary (with an emphasis on improving soil properties) and secondary forest succession (similarity of clear cutting with natural disturbance) will be discussed. The findings will be exemplified by case studies from different forest ecosystems in Europe.

From commitment to commitment? Translating multiple FLR targets

Stephanie Mansourian^{1,2}, John Stanturf³

¹Mansourian.org, Gingins, Switzerland; ²Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu, Estonia; ³University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland (smansourian@infomaniak.ch; drdirt48@gmail.com)

Restoration, and forest landscape restoration (FLR) in particular, has become a widely used tool to reverse forest loss and degradation. Several countries have identified restoration opportunities via the restoration opportunities assessment methodology (ROAM) and have also committed to restore vast areas under the Bonn Challenge on FLR. For a selection of the countries with publicly-accessible ROAM reports we explore, analyse and compare their commitments under the