Check for updates

Received: 13 September 2021 | Revised: 25 May 2022 | Accepted: 5 June 2022

DOI: 10.1002/wat2.1604

PERSPECTIVE

WIREs WATER WILEY

Bringing the margin to the focus: 10 challenges for riparian vegetation science and management

Patricia M. Rodríguez-González[1,2] | Eleni Abraham[3] | Francisca Aguiar[1,2] | Andrea Andreoli[4] | Ligita Baležentienė[5] | Naim Berisha[6] | Ivan Bernez[7] | Michael Bruen[8] | Daniel Bruno[9] | Carlo Camporeale[10] | Andraž Čarni[11] | Mila Chilikova-Lubomirova[12] | Dov Corenblit[13] | Renata Ćušterevska[14] | Tanya Doody[15] | Judy England[16] | André Evette[17] | Robert Francis[18] | Virginia Garófano-Gómez[19,20] | Marta González del Tánago[21] | Yasar Selman Gultekin[22] | Florian Guyard[23] | Seppo Hellsten[24] | Georgi Hinkov[25] | Jiří Jakubínský[26] | Philippe Janssen[27] | Roland Jansson[28] | Jochem Kail[29] | Emine Keles[30] | Mary Kelly-Quinn[31] | Anna Kidová[32] | Tímea Kiss[33] | Mart Kulvik[34] | Nicola La Porta[35,36] | Marianne Laslier[37] | Melissa Latella[10] | Stefan Lorenz[38] | Dejan Mandžukovski[39] | Paraskevi Manolaki[40] | Vanesa Martinez-Fernández[41] | David Merritt[42] | Adrien Michez[43] | Jelena Milovanović[44] | Tomasz Okruszko[45] | Eva Papastergiadou[46] | Ellis Penning[47] | Remigiusz Pielech[48] | Emilio Politti[49] | Ana Portela[50,51,52] | Tenna Riis[53] | Željko Škvorc[54] | Michal Slezák[55] | Barbara Stammel[56] | John Stella[57] | Danijela Stesevic[58] | Vladimir Stupar[59] | Olga Tammeorg[34] | Priit Tammeorg[60] | Therese Moe Fosholt[61] | Gorazd Urbanič[62] | Marc Villar[63] | Ioannis Vogiatzakis[64] | Paul Vrchovsky[23] | Rasoul Yousefpour[65,66] | Peggy Zinke[67] | Tzvetan Zlatanov[68] | Simon Dufour[23,69]

[1]Centro de Estudos Florestais, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

[2]Laboratório Associado Terra, Lisboa, Portugal

[3]School of Agriculture, Forestry and Natural Environment, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

[4]Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy

[5]Institute of Environment and Ecology, Vytautas Magnus University, Kaunas, Lithuania

[6]Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Prishtina, Prishtina, Kosovo

[7]Institut Agro, Agrocampus Ouest, Rennes, France

[8]UCD Earth Institute & UCD Dooge Centre for Water Resources Research, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

[9]Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE), CSIC, Zaragoza, Spain

[10]Politecnico di Torino, Department of Environmental, Land and Infrastructure Engineering (DIATI), Torino, Italy

[11]Research Centre of the Slovenian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Institute of Biology, University of Nova Gorica, Ljubljana, Slovenia

[12]Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Mechanics, Sofia, Bulgaria

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2022 The Authors. *WIREs Water* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

[13]Universite Clermont Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France
[14]Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Institute of Biology, Ss Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Skopje, North Macedonia
[15]CSIRO, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
[16]Environment Agency, Bristol, UK
[17]INRAE, UR LESSEM, Grenoble, France
[18]King's College, London, UK
[19]Institut d'Investigació per a la Gestió Integrada de Zones Costaneres, Universitat Politècnica de València, Grao de Gandia, Spain
[20]GEOLAB, Clermont Auvergne University, Clermont-Ferrand, France
[21]Laboratorio de Hidrobiología, Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
[22]Forest Economics Department, Düzce University, Düzce, Turkey
[23]Geography and Spatial Planning, Université Rennes 2, Rennes, France
[24]Finnish Environment Institute (SYKE) Freshwater Centre, University of Oulu, Helsinki, Finland
[25]Forest Research Institute Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
[26]Global Change Research Institute CAS, Brno, Czech Republic
[27]INRAE, Grenoble, France
[28]Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, Umeå University, Umea, Sweden
[29]University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany
[30]Faculty of Architecture, Department of Landscape Architecture, Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey
[31]School of Biology & Environmental Science and UCD Earth Institute, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
[32]Slovak Academy of Sciences, Institute of Geography, Bratislava, Slovakia
[33]Department of Geoinformatics, Physical and Environmental Geography, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary
[34]Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu, Estonia
[35]Fondazione Edmund Mach Centro Ricerca e Innovazione, San Michelle All'Adige, Italy
[36]European Forest Institute, San Michelle All'Adige, Italy
[37]Ecologie et Dynamique des Systèmes Anthropisés, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens, France
[38]Julius Kuehn Institute, Institute for Ecological Chemistry, Plant Analysis and Stored Product Protection, Berlin, Germany
[39]Department for Forest Management Planning, Public Enterprise “Nacionalni šumi”, Skopje, North Macedonia
[40]Open University of Cyprus, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, Latsia, Cyprus
[41]Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, Madrid, Spain
[42]National Stream and Aquatic Ecology Center, Biological and Physical Resources Staff, USDA, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA
[43]TERRA Teaching and Research Centre, Liège University, Gembloux, Belgium
[44]Environment and Sustainable Development, Singidunum University, Belgrade, Serbia
[45]Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Institute of Environmental Engineering, Warszawa, Poland
[46]Department of Biology, University of Patras, Patra, Greece
[47]Department of Inland Water Systems, Deltares, Delft, The Netherlands
[48]Department of Forest Biodiversity, Faculty of Forestry, University of Agriculture in Kraków, Kraków, Poland
[49]Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Trento, Italy
[50]Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal
[51]CIBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, InBIO, Laboratório Associado, Vairão, Portugal
[52]BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, Vairão, Portugal
[53]Aarhus Universitet, Department of Biology, Aarhu, Denmark
[54]Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
[55]Institute of Forest Ecology Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia
[56]Floodplain Institute, Catholic University of Eichstätt-Ingolstadt, Neuburg/Donau, Germany
[57]Department of Sustainable Resources Management, SUNY College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, New York, USA
[58]Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro
[59]Faculty of Forestry, Department of Forest Ecology, University of Banja Luka, Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina
[60]Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
[61]Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo, Norway
[62]Institute for Holistic Environmental Management, URBANZERO, Mirna, Slovenia
[63]INRAE, ONF, BioForA, Orleans, France

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

[64]Open University of Cyprus, Latsia, Cyprus

[65]Institute of Forestry and Conservation, John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape, and Design, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

[66]Chair of Forestry Economics and Forest Planning, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

[67]Trondheim, Sciencemonastery AS, Norway

[68]Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Sofia, Bulgaria

[69]CNRS UMR LETG, Rennes, France

Correspondence
Patricia M. Rodríguez-González, Universidade de Lisboa, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Centro de Estudos Florestais, Lisboa, Portugal.
Email: patri@isa.ulisboa.pt

Funding information
COST Action CONVERGES, Grant/Award Number: CA16208; Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union; Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology, Grant/Award Number: 2020/03356/CEECIND;PTDC/ASP-SIL/28593/2017;UIDB/00239/2020; CSIC: PTI-ECOBIODIV

Edited by: Jan Seibert, Co-Editor-in-Chief

[Correction added on 11 October 2022, after first online publication: The copyright line was changed.]

Abstract

Riparian zones are the paragon of transitional ecosystems, providing critical habitat and ecosystem services that are especially threatened by global change. Following consultation with experts, 10 key challenges were identified to be addressed for riparian vegetation science and management improvement: (1) Create a distinct scientific community by establishing stronger bridges between disciplines; (2) Make riparian vegetation more visible and appreciated in society and policies; (3) Improve knowledge regarding biodiversity—ecosystem functioning links; (4) Manage spatial scale and context-based issues; (5) Improve knowledge on social dimensions of riparian vegetation; (6) Anticipate responses to emergent issues and future trajectories; (7) Enhance tools to quantify and prioritize ecosystem services; (8) Improve numerical modeling and simulation tools; (9) Calibrate methods and increase data availability for better indicators and monitoring practices and transferability; and (10) Undertake scientific validation of best management practices. These challenges are discussed and critiqued here, to guide future research into riparian vegetation.

This article is categorized under:

Water and Life > Nature of Freshwater Ecosystems
Water and Life > Stresses and Pressures on Ecosystems
Water and Life > Conservation, Management, and Awareness

KEYWORDS
riparian zone, river management, socioecosystem

1 | INTRODUCTION

Riparian ecosystems encompass the physical environment and biological communities of the inland-freshwater interface and are recognized as highly diverse relative to surrounding areas. They contain specialist ecological communities and provide crucial ecosystem services (ES) while occupying a relatively small landscape area (Riis et al., 2020; Sabo et al., 2005). Riparian vegetation in particular is critical to the structure and function of streamside and aquatic ecosystems, yet it is often underemphasized in science and management in favor of abiotic processes (e.g., hydrology, channel, and sediment dynamics) and other biotic communities of concern (e.g., aquatic species and food webs).

Throughout history, riparian areas have been subjected to multiple pressures and have consequently experienced widespread degradation (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005; Stella & Bendix, 2019). Ecological restoration of riparian ecosystems is therefore increasingly recognized as essential to mitigate multiple environmental pressures. The protection and restoration of these transitional socio-ecosystems (see e.g., European Biodiversity Strategy to 2030) represent an effective way, in both monetary and spatial terms, of synergistically addressing international ambitions. For instance, functional riparian zones increase biodiversity, carbon storage, and freshwater system resilience to climate change and associated hydrological impacts (e.g., Dybala et al., 2019). At the global scale, protecting and restoring the functionality of riparian ecosystems thus contributes to several United Nations (UN) Sustainable Development Goals (e.g., SDG 6 "Clean water and sanitation"; 15, "Life on Land") and the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration 2021–2030. This also contributes to transnational, national, and regional initiatives and policies (e.g., the European Green Deal or EU Water Framework Directive (WFD), the Australian Murray-Darling Plan and various US legal frameworks including the Federal Clean Water Act and Endangered Species Act, inter-state river basin commissions, multi-state river compacts, and state and regional regulations). Recognizing the importance of riparian ecosystems has resulted in much research worldwide, notably focused on riparian vegetation (Gregory et al., 1991; Naiman et al., 2005). Indeed, riparian vegetation properties provide useful information on underlying processes and regular robust quantitative monitoring could provide a reliable basis to understand and track the condition of the fluvial system (González del Tánago et al., 2021). Despite research effort and policy motivation, progress in improving the condition of riparian vegetation varies greatly among basins, regions, and countries and, in many cases, it remains limited (e.g., European Environment Agency, 2020). The goal of this article is to compile a synthesis of the current main challenges and potential solutions to advance progress in riparian vegetation research and management.

2 | IDENTIFYING THE CHALLENGES

To identify the main challenges for riparian vegetation science and management, we mobilized the COST Action CONVERGES, which is a large international collaboration funded by the EU. This network, launched in 2017, brings together the diverse body of knowledge that exists across Europe and beyond on all aspects of riparian vegetation, from physical and biological processes to applied issues, including management and restoration practices. Currently, it



FIGURE 1 Main answers to the questions "What are the three main challenges to enhance riparian vegetation (A) science and (B) management and policies?" Frequency calculated based on the 62 responses to the online questionnaire launched in the CONVERGES network. The column "challenges" indicate to which one each answer belongs

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

consists of about 200 expert members from 39 countries, and covers a large range of academic disciplines such as biological sciences, earth and related environmental sciences, agriculture, forestry, aquatic ecology, fisheries sciences, environmental engineering, and social sciences. In this article, we gathered the main outputs generated by three CONVERGES working groups, which include discussion meetings, status reports, and scientific articles (see: www.converges.eu). In addition, we conducted an online survey from 10th to 20th March 2021 using the Google Forms tool with two open questions (i.e., "What are the three main challenges to enhance riparian vegetation (A) science and (B) management and policies?"), which participants could concisely answer with a maximum of 150 words each. Contributions were requested from all 200 members of the CONVERGES network. We received 62 responses for both questions from 33 countries in various geographical contexts, with at least five answers for each European region (i.e., Balkan Peninsula, Central Europe, Eastern Europe, Scandinavia, Southern Europe, and Western Europe) and four answers outside Europe (Australia, USA, and two from Turkey). Due to the composition of the network, the European region was over-represented. This inevitable geographical bias, which has already been highlighted by other studies (Dufour et al., 2019), is a challenge that is also discussed in the present review (see Challenge 4). We analyzed responses qualitatively using a coding approach (Kuckartz, 2014) which includes: (1) reading the answers; (2) identifying repeating categories; (3) tagging and counting the frequency of these repeating answers; and (4) second reading of the answers to merge and/or to split the categories if necessary. This resulted in a list of categories for each question (Figure 1). Following this, the answers were clustered by challenges based on our expertise with no presupposed fixed number of challenges, The analysis showed that some challenges were mainly related to the "science" dimension, some to the "management" dimension and some appeared to belong to both dimension, so it was decided to group them in three main themes to provide a more pedagogical overview (Figure 2). At last, the first two identified challenges related to the need for unifying the field of research, and the associated epistemic community (i.e., a network of professionals with recognized expertise and competence and an authoritative claim to policy-relevant knowledge; Haas, 1992) as a



FIGURE 2 Main challenges to enhance riparian vegetation science and management.

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

crucial initial step to make riparian vegetation more visible and to tackle the other challenges. We grouped these two challenges under the theme "Reinforcing a transdisciplinary field of knowledge." Challenges 3–6 were related with several issues at the frontiers of science and to a holistic consideration of riparian vegetation as a highly dynamic component co-constructed by biophysical and social processes, and as a part of living environments influencing and influenced by humans. These four challenges were grouped under the theme "Progressing scientific knowledge on riparian vegetation understanding." The last group of challenges related to relationships between science and environmental management. There is a long tradition in riparian vegetation studies considering applied issues but improvement is needed. These challenges were grouped under the theme "Aligning riparian vegetation science with management demands."

3 | REINFORCING A TRANSDISCIPLINARY FIELD OF KNOWLEDGE

3.1 | Challenge 1: Bring to life a distinct scientific community

In spite of numerous scientific studies and reviews dedicated to riparian vegetation (e.g., González et al., 2015; Riis et al., 2020; Stella et al., 2013), Dufour et al. (2019) showed the lack of a united and well-identified worldwide scientific community focusing on riparian vegetation (e.g., with specific conferences and/or dedicated journals). This is probably due to its transitional nature: riparian vegetation is part of a dynamic interface system influenced by the river channel, the groundwater, the surrounding area, the upstream catchment, and the atmosphere (Petts & Amoros, 1996). Thus, it has been investigated so far by diverse fields of (applied) science (e.g., hydrogeomorphology, hydraulics, ecology, agriculture, forestry, water management, landscape planning, and restoration) and, more recently through, interdisciplinary scientific approaches (e.g., biogeomorphological studies).

The role of riparian zones in biogeochemical cycling (Dosskey et al., 2010), the effect of riparian vegetation on flood risk (e.g., Darby, 1999) or biotic issues, such as genetic resources management or plant pathogen-related problems (Bjelke et al., 2016), provide good examples of the scattered nature of scientific works. In these three cases, much of the work, while often extremely specialized, does not integrate the complexity of the riparian vegetation patterns and functioning. It is not a question of the quality and relevance of studies, but rather that they are carried out independently without effective integration across disciplines. Given the multifaceted nature of riparian vegetation, and its connections with physical processes and society, these research lines would benefit from more collaboration within the biophysical and engineering disciplines, and strengthened even more by incorporating social sciences. This is crucial not only for a better understanding of riparian ecosystem functioning, but also for management issues, given that riparian zones are often an area of conflicting interests (Arnold et al., 2012). Indeed, riparian vegetation conservation has been in permanent conflict with water resources management (e.g., flood protection, dam and reservoir operation, groundwater overexploitation) and floodplain land use change (e.g., urbanization, agriculture, commercial forestry).

The study of riverine ecosystems requires some level of interdisciplinary knowledge as the components of riparian, aquatic, and terrestrial ecosystems are interdependent. The efforts made in recent decades to integrate scientific disciplines should be continued by encouraging transdisciplinary networking activities: (1) creation of dedicated support of publication (e.g., specific journal); (2) creation of specific sections in scientific associations; and (3) creation of a global riparian expert network with a good representation of disciplines, bioclimatic regions, and perspectives.

3.2 | Challenge 2: Increase riparian vegetation visibility and emphasis in society and policies

From a broader perspective, riparian vegetation ecosystems are not well known, understood or appreciated by the general public. Like many "non-charismatic" plant species, riparian areas do not benefit from specific visibility as is the case for emblematic animal species (e.g., charismatic megafauna) or ecosystems (e.g., tropical forests, coral reefs) (Allen, 2003). The scientific community fails to provide enough evidence or examples for effective communication about, for instance, how riparian vegetation protection and restoration can explicitly help vulnerable regions and communities to improve their resilience in response to rapid changes in climate and environment, economies, and social conditions. This low profile has tangible impacts because it hampers the mobilization of stakeholders (including general public and policymakers) and resources (i.e., funds). Thus, in some regions or countries, riparian vegetation is not

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

identified in related environmental policies. For example, in Europe, the WFD does not explicitly mention it as a core element for the ecological status designation of rivers and thus its monitoring and assessment are not mandatory (González del Tánago et al., 2021). In the United States, federal environmental laws that mandate delineation of wetlands, stream water quality protection, and conservation of endangered species within river corridors do not specifically cover the riparian vegetation communities that support them, nor do they require their assessment and monitoring (Opperman et al., 2017). Nevertheless, several countries and regions have included riparian vegetation in their official or routine assessment protocols, as is the case of South Africa and South Korea (Feio et al., 2021).

The visibility and priority of riparian vegetation in environmental conservation policy and practice can be rectified by putting more effort in communication toward different public audiences, through more diverse channels (e.g., school and university, local newspapers, stakeholder meetings, NGO campaigns, and professional communication training for river managers) and emphasizing all the different forms of traditional knowledge (González et al., 2017). Communication should concern both the values (e.g., using the ES) approach, including influence on water quality or flood risk), and the biodiversity of riparian vegetation in ways that are recognized and appreciated by a broad audience. Additionally, enlarging the role of citizens in riparian monitoring and management would increase their awareness and ecological knowledge about the importance of riparian vegetation, best-management practices, and protection needs. Updating policies with a more explicit integration of riparian vegetation, notably in rural and urban planning regulations, is also needed (as found in the riparian strategy of Calgary, Canada, https://www.calgary.ca/uep/water/watersheds-and-rivers/riparian-areas.html). Legislation should include the multiple ecological functions and services that riparian vegetation provides in order to enable management approaches beyond merely protection from flooding.

4 | PROGRESSING SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE ON RIPARIAN VEGETATION UNDERSTANDING

4.1 | Challenge 3: Improve our understanding of biodiversity—ecosystem processes links

This challenge embraces at least four issues. First, the need for better integration of the different levels of biodiversity beyond the species approach is especially crucial in riparian ecosystems (i.e., genetic, functional, and landscape diversity). For example, in terms of the sex structure in the common, dominant dioecious riparian taxa (e.g., Salicaceae), further understanding of the differentiation of male and female roles at community and ecosystem levels is required. Also, the pool of genetic diversity within riparian ecosystems urgently requires more research, both theoretical (e.g., specificity of gene flow processes), and applied (e.g., genetic considerations in riparian restoration and conservation) (Whitham et al., 2006). Second, the development of geographically generalizable riparian functional-trait approaches should be accelerated toward a more mechanistic and regionally independent understanding of the community assembly processes (e.g., Aguiar et al., 2018; Merritt et al., 2010; O'Hare et al., 2016). Such an approach would help to establish the functional linkages between plant trait selection, phenotypic plasticity, and community assemblages that drive riparian ecosystem dynamics. The third issue involves achieving a better understanding of the influence that regeneration and persistence strategies of riparian plants may have on the formation of riparian corridors (e.g., modality of propagule dispersal; biomechanical tolerance and avoidance traits; and physiological resistance to submersion or drought; Bornette et al., 2008). The formalization of a biogeomorphological paradigm has already recognized the active role of plants in fluvial-vegetation interactions (Corenblit et al., 2015) but the ecological processes have not been fully described in systems exposed to multiple stressors (e.g., Stella & Bendix, 2019). The fourth issue relates to the need to improve our knowledge of plant interactions and the links between vegetation and other biota occurring in the riparian zone (e.g., microbiota and animals), as well as less-studied abiotic factors (e.g., links with groundwater hydrology). Indeed, in a restoration context, the focus should be not only the plant community, but also their associated microbiome, crucial for an integral restoration of structure and processes (Koziol et al., 2018).

4.2 | Challenge 4: Manage spatial scale and context-based issues in research

Traditionally, a large part of riparian vegetation science is based on reach-scale studies of a limited number of sites and contexts (e.g., Bendix & Stella, 2013; González et al., 2015), which hinders upscaling and the application of well-

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

informed, context-specific measures. There is overwhelming empirical evidence of the positive effects of riparian buffers at the reach scale on functions such as retention of pollutants, shading effects on water temperature, primary production, river morphology, inputs of organic material (leaves, large wood), and habitat for terrestrial species (Sweeney & Newbold, 2014). However, more studies at the river-network or catchment scale are needed to assess if and how local reach-scale effects can be upscaled, especially because there is some indication that confounding stressors including catchment land use, impoundments or tile drainage may limit the effect of riparian buffers at larger scales (Marteau et al., 2022). This is of vital importance because current challenges in protecting the world's freshwater resources include establishing the feasibility and justification for broad-scale protection and restoration measures. For example, the EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 aims to restore at least 25,000 km of rivers and plant more than 3 billion trees. The success of such strategies depends on the ability to implement local restoration measures but also to assess the cumulative effects at larger spatial scales.

Furthermore, research results indicate that the functions of riparian vegetation listed above are context-specific and depend on vegetation and river characteristics as well as nature and intensity of stressor conditions. Vegetation characteristics including vegetation type (herbaceous, shrubs, and trees) and stock age influence the retention of pollutants and shading effects. Furthermore, functions depend on river characteristics (e.g., shading effects are higher in small streams at low discharges). Moreover, the relative importance of the different functions depends on the specific stressor conditions (e.g., solubility of specific pesticides applied) and future climate change (e.g., increasing importance of shading in regions with high temperature increase). Most studies have been conducted in highly degraded small streams in temperate forested ecoregions. Specifically, comparative studies are scarce but are needed to better understand how the functions of riparian vegetation differ between ecoregions, river types, and under different stressor conditions (Bendix & Stella, 2013).

4.3 | Challenge 5: Improve knowledge on the social dimensions of riparian vegetation

While the main levers to improve riparian vegetation management are socio-economic (e.g., Sher et al., 2020), the social dimensions are largely absent in the scientific literature (Dufour et al., 2019). The recent efforts to analyze riparian vegetation services partially address this gap, however, it is symptomatic of the naive way the scientific community considers social issues since, for example, cultural ES are not systematically assessed in detail (Riis et al., 2020). Thus, the social dimensions need further investigation, including legal, cultural, political, economic, and psychological issues (among others). Riparian vegetation is not only shaped by direct and indirect human drivers, it also contributes to the sense of place for people (Masterson et al., 2019). Thus, an appropriate understanding of local people's perceptions, roles, values, needs, and interests and an effective engagement with them are needed for real integrative management (Fliervoet et al., 2016). For example, we need to identify who are the stakeholders, and what are their stakes; what are the balances of power and interests; how does this vary and what are the factors of influence? This challenge includes a deeper reflection on how to study those social elements (e.g., which indicators, which methods) but also on the nature of the knowledge and practices that drive riparian vegetation use, management and understanding, as well as gaps between the expectations of managers and the scientific vision, place given to traditional knowledges and other ontologies (more broadly to the decolonization of ideas), and so on (e.g., Parsons et al., 2021). This challenge can be considered part of Challenge 1 but is worthy of its own place because the lack of specific knowledge of the social dimensions of riparian vegetation is much higher than any other scientific field.

4.4 | Challenge 6: Anticipate responses to emergent issues and future trajectories

There is a need to incorporate a trajectory paradigm in forecasting riparian changes (Hughes et al., 2005; Wohl, 2019). Global environmental changes present a considerable challenge for predicting riparian vegetation responses, assessing future responses, and thus, informing sustainable management. This challenge includes an accelerated velocity of environmental transformation that is already affecting both the nature and the intensity of interactions among the multiple stressors (Stella & Bendix, 2019). For example, flow regulation is a major stressor along many rivers (Belletti et al., 2020; Stella et al., 2010; Tonkin et al., 2018) and a good understanding of associated processes is crucial to develop realistic environmental flows and restoration strategies (González et al., 2018). Biotic threats include invasive species, pests, and diseases (Hobbs, 2000). Many studies have shown that riparian ecosystems are especially prone to biological

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

invasions (Pyšek et al., 2010). The strategies, methodologies, and techniques to prevent, eradicate, limit, or manage the spreading of these species, as well as emerging diseases (Bjelke et al., 2016), should integrate a clear understanding of the expected effects on future ecosystems. Despite recurrent calls for holistic and integrated management, this has seldom been realized and many riparian restoration projects across the world address a single driver, hampering the assessment of their transferability in a multi-pressures context. Longer-term monitoring and research projects collecting empirical data over decades (e.g., using Long-Term Ecosystem Research sites) are required to capture such interactions and provide the data to inform and validate modeling and management approaches.

5 | ALIGNING RIPARIAN VEGETATION SCIENCE WITH MANAGEMENT DEMANDS

5.1 | Challenge 7: Enhance tools to quantify and prioritize ES

Many ecological functions and ES are recognized for riparian vegetation, yet their quantification and prioritization have not been fully achieved (Hanna et al., 2018). Research is needed on qualitative environmental value assessment, quantification of service supply, and economic impact analysis of service use, maintenance, and conservation in different management scenarios (e.g., Dybala et al., 2019). A recent review identified the existence of understudied ES and the lack of a ranking among them across key riparian vegetation types as major research gaps (Riis et al., 2020). General issues related to all ES remain to be addressed including scale of analysis, spatial lags between service supply and demand, and synergies and trade-offs among services and disservices (Hanna et al., 2018; Van Looy et al., 2013). For example, how much area of forested banks is needed for effectively influencing temperature balance or ecological quality, and where in the catchment? (see Challenge 4; Kail et al., 2021).

Providing key indicators for all the riparian ES and setting up open databases and toolboxes on ES measurements and values could be the first steps to address this challenge (e.g., the river ecosystem service index (RESI), Stammel et al., 2020). In addition, since certain types of ES have been less covered, their valuation may need to be revisited in a post-pandemic society as we still need to understand potential changes in social perception of natural systems.

5.2 | Challenge 8: Improve numerical modeling and simulation tools

Despite great progress in modeling and simulation tools in general, reliable modeling of riparian processes is still needed. We need to realistically consider the complexity of riparian vegetation processes interacting with for example hydrogeomorphological components (Camporeale et al., 2013; Politti et al., 2018), notably over long-time scales. General modeling challenges involve: (1) illuminating long-term processes, with enough detailed resolution to properly model riparian ecosystem trajectories; (2) anticipating critical thresholds and tipping points leading to irreversible and undesirable ecosystem functioning; and (3) incorporating the interactive effects of multiple stressors. Specific limitations of riparian vegetation modeling include data input requirements, (linked with the need of large and high-quality empirical datasets). This involves fostering the collection (which requires funding) and sharing of data to determine the biological parameters of models. Other specific limitations include the transfer to practice of the theoretical understanding of dynamic processes. Building models to quantify socio-ecological interactions that accommodate differences in spatio-temporal scales in the expression of each driver and response is important for integrating our understanding of riparian systems trajectories. For example, floods may operate over minutes or hours, vegetation may change over months or decades, changes to river morphology, while event-driven, can be observed over periods of several years to decades, and management actions have their own timeframes for planning and implementation.

5.3 | Challenge 9: Calibrate and standardize methods and manage data availability for better indicators and monitoring practices

The high context dependence of riparian processes highlights two key objectives to application of theoretical knowledge in management and conservation. First, to develop replicable indicators and standardized methods, and second, to make the data and methods available for researchers and practitioners elsewhere. To address this, we should develop

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

multi-scale protocols to assess riparian vegetation at various scales with taxonomical, functional, and landscape attributes, as well as tools and models to predict riparian vegetation responses (Rohde et al., 2021). It would also be necessary to promote the integration of riparian vegetation in mandatory river status assessments (González del Tánago et al., 2021). Standardization methods should include the definition of riparian vegetation reference conditions according to biophysical and social driver typologies. This challenge also implies better international coordination in common definitions and data collection techniques, so that information can be integrated and studies compared (e.g., in meta-analyses). This is particularly relevant for the development of large datasets coming from remote sensing tools, low-cost sensor networks, and citizen science (e.g., Huylenbroeck et al., 2020). After standard practices are identified, developing the proper channels to share data, making databases interoperable, and establishing assessment and monitoring protocols are crucial in order to complete the knowledge production cycle. Even for existing knowledge, public databases are often scattered across states, regional, and subregional organizations, and they are thus hard to find, are incompatible and valuable knowledge may be lost with frequent staff turnover.

5.4 | Challenge 10: Validate best management practices

Finally, evidence-based decision making needs to be promoted to avoid the persistence of "business as usual" in riparian management. Quantitative and reliable methods are required to validate best practices and assess their effects. This is crucial in facing global changes in a context of adaptation to future uncertain conditions. This issue includes a dynamic vision of how riparian vegetation is currently providing ES, and how this will change under various management and climatic scenarios. Moreover, many mainstream practices need to be reconsidered in light of recent scientific progress. For example, the common approach of planting trees in river margins to restore riparian systems needs to be framed in the context of the relevance for that kind of strategy (González et al., 2018). It should be preceded by a reliable assessment of pressures, and informed by reliable genetic considerations, ecological and hydromorphological criteria, and coordination with measures to prevent the spread of infections or invasive species, for example, through nurseries (Jung et al., 2016). More effective ways to reinforce knowledge transfer from the scientific community to managers and stakeholders can be developed, such as demonstration projects or early-stage collaboration in practical applications. Promoting such a transdisciplinary approach implies society involvement and, for the academic community, a reflective approach for conducting science embedded within society (Rigolot, 2020).

6 | CONCLUSION: REALLY RECOGNIZE RIPARIAN ZONES AS CO-CONSTRUCTED SOCIO-ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS

Enhancing riparian vegetation science, and thus its management, requires further scientific research, but also reducing the wide geographical dispersion and heterogeneity of current knowledge, policies, and management practices across countries with different environmental and socio-ecological contexts. In many regions, riparian vegetation remains marginal in environmental policies, and management tends to focus on the control of riparian vegetation rather than creating appropriate levels of functioning, in contrast to other contexts (e.g., rainforests, marine protected areas) where assessment tools and stakeholder mobilization appears to be more advanced. Thus, the communication and sharing of knowledge among stakeholders (including academics, managers, and practitioners) and with society need to be substantially improved. This win–win approach will benefit the integrated conservation and restoration of riparian ecosystems and the sustainability of the many ES provided to people into the future.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Patricia M. Rodríguez-González: Conceptualization (lead); formal analysis (lead); funding acquisition (lead); investigation (equal); methodology (lead); project administration (lead); supervision (lead); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (lead). **Eleni Abraham:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Francisca C. Aguiar:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Andrea Andreoli:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Ligita Baležentienė:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Carlo Camporeale:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Naim Berisha:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Ivan Bernez:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Michael Bruen: Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Daniel Bruno:** Investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Andraž Čarni:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Mila Chilikova-Lubomirova:** Investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Dov Corenblit:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Renata Ćušterevska:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Tanya Doody:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Judy England:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **André Evette:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Therese Moe Fosholt:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Robert Francis:** Funding acquisition (lead); investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Virginia Garófano-Gómez:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Marta González del Tánago:** Funding acquisition (lead); investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Yasar Selman Gultekin:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Florian Guyard:** Data curation (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal). **Seppo Hellsten:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Georgi Hinkov:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Jiří Jakubínský:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Philippe Janssen:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Roland Jansson:** Funding acquisition (lead); investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Jochem Kail:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Emine Keles:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Mary Kelly-Quinn:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Anna Kidová:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Tímea Kiss:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Mart Kulvik:** Investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Nicola La Porta:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Marianne Laslier:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Melissa Latella:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Stefan Lorenz:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Dejan Mandžukovski:** Investigation (equal); project administration (supporting); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Paraskevi Manolaki:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Vanesa Martinez-Fernández:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **David Merritt:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Adrien Michez:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Jelena Milovanović:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Tomasz Okruszko:** Investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Eva Papastergiadou:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Ellis Penning:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Remigiusz Pielech:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Emilio Politti:** Funding acquisition (lead); investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Ana Portela:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Tenna Riis:** Investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Željko Škvorc:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Michal Slezák:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Barbara Stammel:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **John Stella:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Danijela Stesevic:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Vladimir Stupar:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Olga Tammeorg:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Priit Tammeorg:** Investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Gorazd Urbanič:** Investigation (equal); project administration (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Marc Villar:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Ioannis Vogiatzakis:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Paul Vrchovsky:** Data curation (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal). **Rasoul Yousefpour:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Peggy Zinke:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Tzvetan Zlatanov:** Investigation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Simon Dufour:** Conceptualization (lead); data curation (equal); formal analysis (lead); funding acquisition (lead);

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

investigation (lead); methodology (lead); project administration (lead); resources (equal); software (equal); supervision (lead); validation (equal); visualization (equal); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (lead).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest for this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

ORCID

Patricia M. Rodríguez-González https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8507-8429
Naim Berisha https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4715-0263
Virginia Garófano-Gómez https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5516-5695
Yasar Selman Gultekin https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0325-4527
Jiří Jakubínský https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7461-2611
Emine Keles https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0084-9525
Anna Kidová https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4699-2119
Melissa Latella https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3678-6992
Tomasz Okruszko https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5103-1638
Barbara Stammel https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3208-4571
Olga Tammeorg https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7616-3127
Simon Dufour https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8268-9371

RELATED WIREs ARTICLES

Characterizing geomorphological change to support
Effective restoration of aquatic ecosystems: Scaling the barriers
Monitoring the effectiveness of floodplain habita
Understanding rivers and their social relations: A critical step to advance environmental water management

REFERENCES

Aguiar, F. C., Segurado, P., Martins, M. J., Bejarano, M. D., Nilsson, C., Portela, M. M., & Merritt, D. M. (2018). The abundance and distribution of guilds of riparian woody plants change in response to land use and flow regulation. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *55*(5), 2227–2240. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13110

Allen, W. (2003). Plant blindness. *Bioscience*, *53*(10), 926. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0926:PB]2.0.CO;2

Arnold, J. S., Koro-Ljungberg, M., & Bartels, W. L. (2012). Power and conflict in adaptive management: Analyzing the discourse of riparian management on public lands. *Ecology and Society*, *17*(1), 12. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04636-170119

Belletti, B., de Leaniz, C. G., Jones, J., Bizzi, S., Börger, L., Segura, G., Castelletti, A., van de Bund, W., Aarestrup, K., Barry, J., Belka, K., Berkhuysen, A., Birnie-Gauvin, K., Bussettini, M., Carolli, M., Consuegra, S., Dopico, E., Feierfeil, T., Fernández, S., … Zalewski, M. (2020). More than one million barriers fragment Europe's rivers. *Nature*, *588*(7838), 436–441. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-3005-2

Bendix, J., & Stella, J. C. (2013). Riparian vegetation and the fluvial environment: A biogeographic perspective. In *Treatise on geomorphology* (pp. 53–74). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374739-6.00322-5

Bjelke, U., Boberg, J., Oliva, J., Tattersdill, K., & McKie, B. G. (2016). Dieback of riparian alder caused by the Phytophthora alni complex: projected consequences for stream ecosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, *61*(5), 565–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12729

Bornette, G., Tabacchi, E., Hupp, C., Puijalon, S., & Rostan, J. C. (2008). A model of plant strategies in fluvial hydrosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, *53*(8), 1692–1705. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.01994.x

Camporeale, C., Perucca, E., Ridolfi, L., & Gurnell, A. M. (2013). Modeling the interactions between river morphodynamics and riparian vegetation. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *51*(3), 379–414. https://doi.org/10.1002/rog.20014

Corenblit, D., Davies, N. S., Steiger, J., Gibling, M. R., & Bornette, G. (2015). Considering river structure and stability in the light of evolution: Feedbacks between riparian vegetation and hydrogeomorphology. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, *40*(2), 189–207. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3643

Darby, S. E. (1999). Effect of riparian vegetation on flow resistance and flood potential. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, *125*(5), 443–454. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1999)125:5(443)

Dosskey, M. G., Vidon, P., Gurwick, N. P., Allan, C. J., Duval, T. P., & Lowrance, R. (2010). The role of riparian vegetation in protecting and improving chemical water quality in streams. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, *46*(2), 236–277. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2010.00419.x

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Dufour, S., Rodríguez-González, P. M., & Laslier, M. (2019). Tracing the scientific trajectory of riparian vegetation studies: Main topics, approaches and needs in a globally changing world. *Science of the Total Environment*, *653*, 1168–1185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.383

Dybala, K. E., Matzek, V., Gardali, T., & Seavy, N. E. (2019). Carbon sequestration in riparian forests: A global synthesis and meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, *25*(1), 57–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14475

European Environment Agency. (2020). *Floodplains: A natural system to preserve and restore*. EEA Report No 24/2019. European Environment Agency. www.eea.europa.eu/publications/floodplains-a-natural-system-to-preserve-and-restore.

Feio, M. J., Hughes, R. M., Callisto, M., Nichols, S. J., Odume, O. N., Quintella, B. R., Kuemmerlen, M., Aguiar, F. C., Almeida, S. F. P., Alonso-EguíaLis, P., Arimoro, F. O., Dyer, F. J., Harding, J. S., Jang, S., Kaufmann, P. R., Lee, S., Li, J., Macedo, D. R., Mendes, A., … Yates, A. G. (2021). The biological assessment and rehabilitation of the world's rivers: An overview. *Water*, *13*(3), 371. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13030371

Fliervoet, J. M., Geerling, G. W., Mostert, E., & Smits, A. J. M. (2016). Analyzing collaborative governance through social network analysis: A case study of river management along the Waal River in The Netherlands. *Environmental Management*, *57*(2), 355–367. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-015-0606-x

González del Tánago, M., Martínez-Fernández, V., Aguiar, F. C., Bertoldi, W., Dufour, S., García de Jalón, D., Garófano-Gómez, V., Mandzukovski, D., & Rodríguez-González, P. M. (2021). Improving river hydromorphological assessment through better integration of riparian vegetation: Scientific evidence and guidelines. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *292*, 112730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112730

González, E., Felipe-Lucia, M. R., Bourgeois, B., Boz, B., Nilsson, C., Palmer, G., & Sher, A. A. (2017). Integrative conservation of riparian zones. *Biological Conservation*, *211*, 20–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.10.035

González, E., Martínez-Fernández, V., Shafroth, P. B., Sher, A. A., Henry, A. L., Garófano-Gómez, V., & Corenblit, D. (2018). Regeneration of Salicaceae riparian forests in the Northern Hemisphere: A new framework and management tool. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *218*, 374–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.04.069

González, E., Sher, A. A., Tabacchi, E., Masip, A., & Poulin, M. (2015). Restoration of riparian vegetation: A global review of implementation and evaluation approaches in the international, peer-reviewed literature. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *158*, 85–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.04.033

Gregory, S. V., Swanson, F. J., McKee, W. A., & Cummins, K. W. (1991). An ecosystem perspective of riparian zones. *Bioscience*, *41*, 540–551. https://doi.org/10.2307/1311607

Haas, P. M. (1992). Introduction: Epistemic communities and international policy coordination. *International Organization*, *46*(1), 1–35 http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706951

Hanna, D. E. L., Tomscha, S. A., Ouellet Dallaire, C., & Bennett, E. M. (2018). A review of riverine ecosystem service quantification: Research gaps and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *55*(3), 1299–1311. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13045

Hobbs, R. J. (Ed.). (2000). *Invasive species in a changing world*. Island Press.

Hughes, F. M. R., Colston, A., & Owen, J. (2005). Restoring riparian ecosystems: The challenge of accommodating variability and designing restoration trajectories. *Ecology and Society*, *10*(1), 12 https://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol10/iss1/art12/

Huylenbroeck, L., Laslier, M., Dufour, S., Georges, B., Lejeune, P., & Michez, A. (2020). Using remote sensing to characterize riparian vegetation: A review of available tools and perspectives for managers. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *267*, 110652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110652

Jung, T., Orlikowski, L., Henricot, B., Abad-Campos, P., Aday, A. G., Aguín Casal, O., Bakonyi, J., Cacciola, S. O., Cech, T., Chavarriaga, D., Corcobado, T., Cravador, A., Decourcelle, T., Denton, G., Diamandis, S., Doğmuş-Lehtijärvi, H. T., Franceschini, A., Ginetti, B., Green, S., … Peréz-Sierra, A. (2016). Widespread *Phytophthora* infestations in European nurseries put forest, semi-natural and horticultural ecosystems at high risk of Phytophthora diseases. *Forest Pathology*, *46*(2), 134–163. https://doi.org/10.1111/efp.12239

Kail, J., Palt, M., Lorenz, A., & Hering, D. (2021). Woody buffer effects on water temperature: The role of spatial configuration and daily temperature fluctuations. *Hydrological Processes*, *35*(1), e14008. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14008

Koziol, L., Schultz, P. A., House, G. L., Bauer, J. T., Middleton, E. L., & Bever, J. D. (2018). Data from: The plant microbiome and native plant restoration: the example of native mycorrhizal fungi (Version 1, p. 95197 bytes) [Data set]. Dryad. https://doi.org/10.5061/DRYAD.BS79GK5

Kuckartz, U. (2014). *Qualitative text analysis: A guide to methods, practice & using software*. SAGE Publications Ltd. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446288719

Marteau, B., Piégay, H., Chandesris, A., Michel, K., & Vaudor, L. (2022). Riparian shading mitigates warming but cannot revert thermal alteration by impoundments in lowland rivers. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.5372

Masterson, V. A., Enqvist, J. P., Stedman, R. C., & Tengö, M. (2019). Sense of place in social–ecological systems: From theory to empirics. *Sustainability Science*, *14*(3), 555–564. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-019-00695-8

Merritt, D. M., Scott, M. L., LeRoy Poff, N., Auble, G. T., & Lytle, D. A. (2010). Theory, methods and tools for determining environmental flows for riparian vegetation: Riparian vegetation-flow response guilds: Riparian vegetation-hydrologic models. *Freshwater Biology*, *55*(1), 206–225. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02206.x

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being: Synthesis*. Island Press.

Naiman, R. J., Décamps, H., & McClain, M. E. (2005). *Riparia: Ecology, conservation, and management of streamside communities*. Elsevier.

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

O'Hare, M., Mountford, J., Maroto, J., & Gunn, I. (2016). Plant traits relevant to fluvial geomorphology and hydrological interactions. *River Research and Applications*, *32*(2), 179–189. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.2940

Opperman, J. J., Moyle, P. B., Larsen, E. W., Florsheim, J. L., & Manfree, A. D. (2017). *Floodplains: Processes and management for ecosystem services*. University of California Press.

Parsons, M., Fisher, K., & Crease, R. P. (2021). Decolonising river restoration: Restoration as acts of healing and expression of Rangatiratanga. In *Decolonising blue spaces in the anthropocene*. Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61071-5_9

Petts, G. E., & Amoros, C. (Eds.). (1996). *Fluvial hydrosystems* (1st ed.). Chapman & Hall.

Politti, E., Bertoldi, W., Gurnell, A., & Henshaw, A. (2018). Feedbacks between the riparian Salicaceae and hydrogeomorphic processes: A quantitative review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *176*, 147–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.07.018

Pyšek, P., Bacher, S., Chytrý, M., Jarošík, V., Wild, J., Celesti-Grapow, L., Gassó, N., Kenis, M., Lambdon, P. W., Nentwig, W., Pergl, J., Roques, A., Sádlo, J., Solarz, W., Vilà, M., & Hulme, P. E. (2010). Contrasting patterns in the invasions of European terrestrial and freshwater habitats by alien plants, insects and vertebrates: Invasion of European habitats by alien plants and animals. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *19*(3), 317–331. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00514.x

Rigolot, C. (2020). Transdisciplinarity as a discipline and a way of being: Complementarities and creative tensions. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *7*(1), 100. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-00598-5

Riis, T., Kelly-Quinn, M., Aguiar, F. C., Manolaki, P., Bruno, D., Bejarano, M. D., Clerici, N., Fernandes, M. R., Franco, J. C., Pettit, N., Portela, A. P., Tammeorg, O., Tammeorg, P., Rodríguez-González, P. M., & Dufour, S. (2020). Global overview of ecosystem services provided by riparian vegetation. *Bioscience*, *70*(6), 501–514. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa041

Rohde, M. M., Stella, J. C., Roberts, D. A., & Singer, M. B. (2021). Groundwater dependence of riparian woodlands and the disrupting effect of anthropogenically altered streamflow. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(25), e2026453118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2026453118

Sabo, J. L., Sponseller, R., Dixon, M., Gade, K., Harms, T., Heffernan, J., Jani, A., Katz, G., Soykan, C., Watts, J., & Welter, J. (2005). Riparian zones increase regional species richness by harboring different, not more, species. *Ecology*, *86*(1), 56–62. https://doi.org/10.1890/04-0668

Sher, A. A., Clark, L., Henry, A. L., Goetz, A. R. B., González, E., Tyagi, A., Simpson, I., & Bourgeois, B. (2020). The human element of restoration success: Manager characteristics affect vegetation recovery following invasive Tamarix control. *Wetlands*, *40*(6), 1877–1895. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-020-01370-w

Stammel, B., Fischer, C., Cyffka, B., Albert, C., Damm, C., Dehnhardt, A., Fischer, H., Foeckler, F., Gerstner, L., Hoffmann, T. G., Iwanowski, J., Kasperidus, H. D., Linnemann, K., Mehl, D., Podschun, S. A., Rayanov, M., Ritz, S., Rumm, A., Scholz, M., … Gelhaus, M. (2020). Assessing land use and flood management impacts on ecosystem services in a river landscape (upper Danube, Germany). *River Research and Applications*, *37*(2), 209–220. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3669

Stella, J. C., Battles, J. J., McBride, J. R., & Orr, B. K. (2010). Riparian seedling mortality from simulated water table recession, and the Design of Sustainable Flow Regimes on regulated Rivers. *Restoration Ecology*, *18*, 284–294. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-100X.2010.00651.x

Stella, J. C., & Bendix, J. (2019). Multiple stressors in riparian ecosystems. In *Multiple stressors in river ecosystems* (pp. 81–110). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811713-2.00005-4

Stella, J. C., Rodríguez-González, P. M., Dufour, S., & Bendix, J. (2013). Riparian vegetation research in Mediterranean-climate regions: Common patterns, ecological processes, and considerations for management. *Hydrobiologia*, *719*(1), 291–315. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-012-1304-9

Sweeney, B. W., & Newbold, J. D. (2014). Streamside Forest buffer width needed to protect stream water quality, habitat, and organisms: A literature review. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, *50*(3), 560–584. https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12203

Tonkin, J. D., Merritt, D. M., Olden, J. D., Reynolds, L. V., & Lytle, D. A. (2018). Flow regime alteration degrades ecological networks in riparian ecosystems. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *2*(1), 86–93. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0379-0

Van Looy, K., Tormos, T., Ferréol, M., Villeneuve, B., Valette, L., Chandesris, A., Bougon, N., Oraison, F., & Souchon, Y. (2013). Benefits of riparian forest for the aquatic ecosystem assessed at a large geographic scale. *Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems*, *408*, 6. https://doi.org/10.1051/kmae/2013041

Whitham, T. G., Bailey, J. K., Schweitzer, J. A., Shuster, S. M., Bangert, R. K., LeRoy, C. J., Lonsdorf, E. V., Allan, G. J., DiFazio, S. P., Potts, B. M., Fischer, D. G., Gehring, C. A., Lindroth, R. L., Marks, J. C., Hart, S. C., Wimp, G. M., & Wooley, S. C. (2006). A framework for community and ecosystem genetics: From genes to ecosystems. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *7*(7), 510–523. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1877

Wohl, E. (2019). Forgotten legacies: Understanding and mitigating historical human alterations of river corridors. *Water Resources Research*, *55*(7), 5181–5201. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024433

How to cite this article: Rodríguez-González, P. M., Abraham, E., Aguiar, F., Andreoli, A., Baležentienė, L., Berisha, N., Bernez, I., Bruen, M., Bruno, D., Camporeale, C., Čarni, A., Chilikova-Lubomirova, M., Corenblit, D., Ćušterevska, R., Doody, T., England, J., Evette, A., Francis, R., Garófano-Gómez, V., … Dufour, S. (2022). Bringing the margin to the focus: 10 challenges for riparian vegetation science and management. *WIREs Water*, *9*(5), e1604. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1604

20491948, 2022, 5, Downloaded from https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wat2.1604 by Fondazione Edmund Mach, Wiley Online Library on [28/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License